

REMARKS

Amendment to the abstract has been made as required by the Examiner. In addition, the specification has been amended on page 3 to restore the paragraph to its original content, thus providing antecedent basis for claim 22. No new matter has been added inasmuch as the replace paragraph corresponds to the originally filed specification.

The claims have been amended to overcome the Examiner's objections thereto and in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions. In order to overcome the Examiner's rejection of claims 19-29 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

In addition, in view of the Examiner's recognition of allowable subject matter in claims 22-26, the distinguishing features of claim 22 have been incorporated into independent claim 19, thus rendering claims 19 and all the dependent claims allowable over the art.

Further, claim 26 has been rewritten in independent form in order to place it for condition for allowance.

In view of the amendment to the claims and specification, it is submitted that each of the claims now in the application define patentable subject matter not anticipated by the art of record and not obvious to one skilled in this field who is aware of the references of record. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
/WALTER A. HACKLER/

Walter A. Hackler, Reg. No. 27,792
Attorney of Record
2372 S.E. Bristol, Suite B
Newport Beach, California 92660
Tel: (949) 851-5010
Fax: (949) 752-1925